HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 2852 of 2007

PETITIONER : 1) Vijay Bhatiya, S/o Sugnamal Bhatiya, aged about 45 years, Proprietor – Shankar Pan Bhandar and STD PCO, near Railway Station, Raigarh, Tehsil and District Raigarh (C.G.)
2) Vipin Punjabi Advocate, S/o Shri G.D.Punjabi, aged 46 years, R/o of Beladula Sindi Colony, Raigarh, Tehsil and District Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of C.G., DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2) Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Raipur (C.G.)
3) Inspector General of Police, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (C.G.)
4) Superintendent of Police Raigarh (C.G.)
5) Subhash Singh, Town Inspector, City Kotwali, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: None for the petitioners.
Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/ respondent No. 1 to 4.
Shri Dilip Dubey, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

ORDER
(Passed on 30th day of August, 2007)

1. None appears for the petitioners.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 08.05.2007, a report was filed on 15.6.2007. Thereafter, as required by the Court, supporting documents were filed. On perusal of the report, it appears that the City Superintendent of Police, Raigarh, has conducted an enquiry with regard to the alleged incident having taken place in the intervening night of 4/5 August, 2006. The report indicates that there was only verbal exchange between the

981

petitioners and the Town Inspector, Subhash Singh, but there was no assault or beating of the petitioners. Raju Mishra, one eye witness has also deposed in the same manner.

3. I have perused other papers and depositions of other persons. It appears that there was no incident of such nature as is complained by the petitioners. Thus, this petition is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit